

GREGORY S. BELL Lieutenant Governor

## State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER

Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA

Division Director

## Inspection Report Minerals Regulatory Program

April 12, 2010

Reviewed:	AS17	
Reviewed.	1	

Withe Name: Cream Time Quarry	Permit Number: 5/039/0009		
Operator Name: Lanny Jensen (deceased)	Inspection Date: March 17, 2010		
Inspector(s): John Rogers and Lynn Kunzler	Time: 10:30 am		
Other Participants: Wayne Halverson (DWR) & Stan Mecham (DWR)	Mine Status: inactive		
Elements of Inspection  1. Permits, Revisions, Transfer, Bonds	Evaluated X	Comment	Enforcement

	Elements of Inspection	Evaluated	Comment	Enforcement
1.	Permits, Revisions, Transfer, Bonds	X		
2.	Public Safety (shafts, adits, trash, signs, highwalls)	X		
3.	Protection of Drainages / Erosion Control			
4.	Deleterious Material	X		
5.	Roads (maintenance, surfacing, dust control, safety)			
6.	Concurrent Reclamation	X		
7.	Backfilling/Grading (trenches, pits, roads, highwalls, shafts, drill holes)	X		
8.	Water Impoundments			
9.	Soils	X		
10.	Revegetation	X		
11.	Air Quality			
12.	Other			

**Bond Amount**: no bond **Permit fee**: no fees paid since 2002

<u>Purpose of Inspection</u>: To meet with Wayne Halverson and Stan Mecham of Utah DWR to get an estimate for reclamation of the Cream Time quarry. The objective is to use the minerals section's violation fees collected to reclaim some this mine since it doesn't have a bond and can be reclaimed at a reasonable cost. The Cream Time's operator Lanny Jensen died in 2002. There is currently no recourse for any reclamation except for use of these internal funds. The most cost effective reclamation will be to use DWR resources. This can be done when they have time in their off season. The funds will be transferred the internally.

**Inspection Summary**: The southern end of the quarry, about 3 acres, has been regraded and reseeded, but the revegatation process did not take. The remaining northern portion of the quarry, about 4 acres, needs to be regarded, sloped to a 3:1 ratio and reseeded. Regrading and contouring will be the concentration of the reclamation work by the DWR on the northern end. Once regraded, the whole area will be reseeded. The landowner, Gerald Wilden will reseed it if DOGM provides the seed mix. There was no trash, equipment or hazardous material on site.

Conclusions and Recommendations: With the lack of any viable operator to hold responsible, this site will never be reclaimed if DOGM doesn't step in and do the work. Given the opportunity and the funds that are currently available, this site is a good candidate for reclamation. A bid from the DWR has beed received at \$11,000, which is very reasonable. A MOU (memo of understanding) has been drafted between the DOGM and DWR concerning a transfer of funds to complete this project. A document concerning the right to enter the land has been prepared for the signature of Gerald Wilden and will be signed by him before any work will begin by the DWR. Mr. Wilden has verbally committed to the DOGM to authorize the reclamation. DOGM

Inspection Date: 3/17/2010 Page 2 of 4 S/039/0009

representatives will monitor the reclamation to insure that work complies with reclamation law as defined in R647-3-109. The planned date of the reclamation work is April 26-29, 2010.

**Inspector's Signature** 

JCR:

cc: Gerald Wilden - landowner

O:\M039-Sanpete\S0390009-Creamtime\inspections\insp-03172010.doc